Introduction to Personalized Medicine and Dynamic Treatment Regimes

Marie Davidian



Department of Statistics North Carolina State University

http://www4.stat.ncsu.edu/~davidian

What is personalized medicine?



What is personalized medicine?



Personalized Medicine

Source of graphic: http://www.personalizedmedicine.com/

One size does not fit all:

- Multiple treatment options
- Patient *heterogeneity*

Basic premise: A *patient's characteristics* are implicated in which *treatment option* s/he should receive

- Genetic/genomic, other omic,...
- Demographic, physiologic/clinical measures, medical history,...

Subgroup identification/targeted treatment:

- Are there subgroups of patients who are *more likely* to do better on one treatment than on another?
- Can biomarkers be developed to identify such patients?
- Can a treatment be developed that *targets* a subgroup that is very likely to benefit from that treatment?

Subgroup identification/targeted treatment:

- Are there subgroups of patients who are *more likely* to do better on one treatment than on another?
- Can biomarkers be developed to identify such patients?
- Can a treatment be developed that *targets* a subgroup that is very likely to benefit from that treatment?

Focus: Targeting treatment to *subgroups* of the population

• "Right patient for the treatment"

Another perspective on personalized medicine

Clinical practice: Clinicians make (a series of) *treatment decision*(*s*) over the course of a patient's disease or disorder

- Key decision points in the disease process
- *Fixed schedule*, *milestone* in the disease process, *event* necessitating a decision
- Multiple treatment options at each
- Accrued information on the patient

Another perspective on personalized medicine

Clinical practice: Clinicians make (a series of) *treatment decision*(*s*) over the course of a patient's disease or disorder

- Key decision points in the disease process
- *Fixed schedule*, *milestone* in the disease process, *event* necessitating a decision
- Multiple treatment options at each
- Accrued information on the patient

Focus: *Given information on patient's characteristics*, can we determine the treatment(s) from among the available options most likely to benefit him/her?

• "Right treatment for the patient"

Another perspective on personalized medicine

Clinical practice: Clinicians make (a series of) *treatment decision*(*s*) over the course of a patient's disease or disorder

- Key decision points in the disease process
- *Fixed schedule*, *milestone* in the disease process, *event* necessitating a decision
- Multiple treatment options at each
- Accrued information on the patient

Focus: *Given information on patient's characteristics*, can we determine the treatment(s) from among the available options most likely to benefit him/her?

• "Right treatment for the patient"

This is the perspective we will take

How are these decisions made?

- Clinical judgment
- *Practice guidelines* based on combining *study results* and *expert opinion*
- Synthesize all *information* on a patient up to the point of the decision to determine the *next treatment action*

How can statistics and statistical thinking be used to formalize clinical decision-making?

6/32

Formalizing personalized medicine: At any decision

• Need a *rule* that takes as *input* the *accrued information* on the patient to that point and *outputs* the next treatment from among the *possible options*

Dynamic treatment regime: A set of formal such *rules*, each corresponding to a *decision point*

• *Dynamic* because the treatment action *varies* depending on the *accrued information*

Single decision

Simple example: Which treatment to give to patients who present with primary operable *breast cancer*?

- *Treatment options*: L-phenylalanine mustard and 5-fluorouracil (c₁) or c₁ + tamoxifen (c₂)
- Information: age, progesterone receptor level (PR)

Example rule: "If age < 50 years and PR < 10 fmol, give c_1 (coded as 1); otherwise, give c_2 (coded as 0)"

Mathematically, the rule d is (rectangular region)

d(age, PR) = I(age < 50 and PR < 10)

Alternatively: Rules of form (hyperplane)

 $d(age, PR) = I\{age + 8.7 \log(PR) - 60 > 0\}$

Multiple decision points



Two decision points:

- Decision 1: Induction chemotherapy (options c₁, c₂)
- Decision 2:
 - Maintenance treatment for patients who respond (options m₁, m₂)
 - Salvage chemotherapy for those who *don't* (options s₁, s₂)

Multiple decision points



- At baseline: Information x_1 (accrued information $h_1 = x_1$)
- Decision 1: Two options $\{c_1, c_2\}$; rule 1: $d_1(x_1) \Rightarrow x_1 \to \{c_1, c_2\}$
- Between decisions 1 and 2: Collect additional information x₂, including responder status
- Accrued information $h_2 = \{x_1, \text{ chemotherapy at decision 1, } x_2\}$
- Decision 2: Four options $\{m_1, m_2, s_1, s_2\}$; rule 2: $d_2(h_2) \Rightarrow h_2 \rightarrow \{m_1, m_2\}$ (responder), $h_2 \rightarrow \{s_1, s_2\}$ (nonresponder)
- *Regime*: *d* = (*d*₁, *d*₂)

Summary

Single decision: 1 decision point

- Baseline information $x \in \mathcal{X}$
- Set of *treatment options* $a \in A$
- Decision rule d(x), $d : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$
- Treatment regime: d

Multiple decisions: K decision points

- Baseline information x₁, intermediate information x_k between decisions k - 1 and k, k = 2,..., K
- Set of *treatment options* at each decision $k: a_k \in A_k$
- Accrued information $h_1 = x_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$,

$$h_k = \{x_1, a_1, x_2, a_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, a_{k-1}, x_k\} \in \mathcal{H}_k, \ k = 2, \dots, K$$

- Decision rules $d_1(h_1), d_2(h_2), \ldots, d_K(h_K), d_k : \mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_k$
- Treatment regime $d = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_K)$

Obviously: There is an *infinitude* of possible regimes *d*

- D = class of *all possible* dynamic treatment regimes
- Can we find the "best" set of rules; i.e., the "best" dynamic treatment regime in D?
- How to define "best?"

Approach: Formalize *best* using ideas from *causal inference*

Outcome: There is a *clinical outcome* by which treatment *benefit* can be assessed

- Survival time, CD4 count, indicator of no myocardial infarction within 30 days, ...
- Larger outcomes are better

An optimal regime *d^{opt}*: Should satisfy

- If *all patients* in the *population* were to receive treatment according to *d^{opt}*, the *expected outcome* for the population would be *as large as possible*
- If an individual patient were to receive treatment according to *d^{opt}*, his/her expected outcome would be as large as possible given the information available on him/her

Formalize this...

For simplicity: Consider regimes involving a *single decision* with *two* treatment options (coded as 0 and 1)

- $A = \{0, 1\}$
- Baseline information $x \in \mathcal{X}$

Treatment regime: A single *rule* d(x)

- $d: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$
- $d \in D$, the class of *all* regimes

Potential outcomes

Treatments 0 and 1: We can hypothesize *potential outcomes*

- Y*(1) = outcome that would be achieved if a patient were to receive treatment 1
- Y*(0) similarly
- *E*{*Y**(1)} is the *expected outcome* if *all patients* in the population were to receive 1; *E*{*Y**(0)} similarly

In fact: In a *randomized trial* comparing 0 and 1, the *question of interest* can be stated as

"Is the *expected outcome* if *all patients in the population* were to receive 0 *different from* that if they all were to receive 1 instead?"

• *Comparison* of *E*{*Y**(1)} and *E*{*Y**(0)}

Potential outcome for a regime: For any $d \in D$, define $Y^*(d)$ to be the *potential outcome* for a patient with *baseline information X* if s/he were to receive treatment *in accordance with regime d*

$$Y^*(d) = Y^*(1)d(X) + Y^*(0)\{1 - d(X)\}$$

- Recall: $d: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$
- *Potential outcome for regime d* for a patient with information *X* is the potential outcome for the treatment option *dictated by d*

In general: For a *single decision* with set of treatment options \mathcal{A} with elements a

- Potential outcomes $Y^*(a)$, $a \in A$
- *Potential outcome* for regime $d \in D$

$$Y^*(d) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Y^*(a) I\{d(X) = a\}$$

Thus:

- *E*{*Y**(*d*)|*X* = *x*} is the *expected outcome* for a patient with *information x* if s/he were to receive treatment according to regime *d* ∈ *D*
- E{Y*(d)} = E[E{Y*(d)|X}] is the expected (average) outcome for the *population* if *all patients* were to receive treatment according to regime d ∈ D

Optimal regime: d^{opt} is a regime in \mathcal{D} such that

- $E{Y^*(d)} \le E{Y^*(d^{opt})}$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$
- $E\{Y^*(d)|X=x\} \le E\{Y^*(d^{opt})|X=x\}$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{X}$

Optimal dynamic treatment regime

Simplest case: $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$

$$Y^*(d) = Y^*(1)d(X) + Y^*(0)\{1 - d(X)\}$$

• Thus

$$E\{Y^*(d)\} = E\left[E\{Y^*(d)|X\}\right]$$

= $E\left[E\{Y^*(1)|X\}d(X) + E\{Y^*(0)|X\}\{1 - d(X)\}\right]$

It follows that

$$d^{opt}(x) = I \Big[E\{Y^*(1) | X = x\} > E\{Y^*(0) | X = x\} \Big]$$

Important philosophical point

Distinguish between:

- The "best" treatment for a patient
- The "best" treatment decision for a patient given the information available on the patient

Best treatment for a patient: Option $a^{best} \in A$ corresponding to the *largest* $Y^*(a)$ for the patient

Best treatment given the information available:

- We *cannot* hope to determine *a^{best}* because we can never see *all* potential outcomes on a given patient
- We can hope to make the optimal decision given the information available, i.e., find d^{opt} that makes E{Y*(d)} and E{Y*(d)|X = x} as large as possible

Goal: Given *data* from a clinical trial or observational study, *estimate* an *optimal regime d*^{opt} satisfying this definition

Observed data: Single decision, K = 1, $A = \{0, 1\}$.

$$(X_i, A_i, Y_i), i = 1, ..., n$$
 iid

- X = *baseline* information
- A = treatment actually received
- Y = observed outcome

Required:

- Optimal regime is defined in terms of potential outcomes
- Must express equivalently in terms of observed data

Consistency assumption:

$$Y = Y^{*}(1)A + Y^{*}(0)(1 - A)$$

No unmeasured confounders assumption:

 $Y^{*}(0), Y^{*}(1) \perp A_{1} \mid X_{1}$

- Automatically satisfied in a *clinical trial*
- Unverifiable but necessary in an observational study

Consistency assumption:

$$Y = Y^{*}(1)A + Y^{*}(0)(1 - A)$$

No unmeasured confounders assumption:

 $Y^{*}(0), Y^{*}(1) \perp A_{1} \mid X_{1}$

- Automatically satisfied in a *clinical trial*
- Unverifiable but necessary in an observational study

Under these assumptions:

 $E\{Y^*(d)\} = E\left[E(Y|X_1, A=1)d(X_1) + E(Y|X_1, A=0)\{1 - d(X_1)\}\right]$

• Butch will show how this leads to an estimator for d^{opt}

Potential outcomes: Same ideas, only more complicated

- Baseline information X₁
- *K* decisions, set of *treatment options* A_k , k = 1, ..., K
- *ā_k* = (*a*₁,..., *a_k*) = possible *treatment history* through decision *k*, taking values in *Ā_k* = *A*₁ × ··· *A_k*
- $X_k^*(\bar{a}_{k-1})$ = intermediate information between decisions k-1 and k that *would arise* for a patient if s/he *were to receive* treatment history \bar{a}_{k-1}
- Y*(ā_K) = outcome that would be achieved for a patient if s/he were to receive treatment history ā_K
- Potential outcomes under treatment history \bar{a}_K

$$X_2^*(a_1), X_3^*(\bar{a}_2), \dots, X_K^*(\bar{a}_{K-1}), Y^*(\bar{a}_K)$$

Multiple decision points

Potential outcomes for regime $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_K)$:

- Write $\bar{d}_k = (d_1, \dots, d_k) = first k rules$ in $d, \bar{d}_K = d$
- Write \bar{x}_k similarly

•
$$h_k = (x_1, a_1, x_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, x_k) = (\bar{x}_k, \bar{a}_{k-1})$$

• With
$$X_1 = x_1 = h_1$$

 $d_1(h_1) = a_1, X_2^*(d_1) = X_2^*(a_1) = x_2, d_2(h_2) = a_2,$
 $X_3^*(\bar{d}_2) = X_3^*(\bar{a}_2) = x_3, \dots, d_{K-1}(h_{K-1}) = a_{K-1},$
 $X_K^*(\bar{d}_{K-1}) = X_K^*(\bar{a}_{K-1}) = x_K, d_K(h_K) = a_K, Y^*(d) = Y^*(\bar{a}_K) = y$

Multiple decision points

Potential outcomes for regime $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_K)$:

- Write $\bar{d}_k = (d_1, \dots, d_k) = first \ k \ rules$ in $d, \ \bar{d}_K = d$
- Write x
 _k similarly

•
$$h_k = (x_1, a_1, x_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, x_k) = (\bar{x}_k, \bar{a}_{k-1})$$

• With
$$X_1 = x_1 = h_1$$

 $d_1(h_1) = a_1, X_2^*(d_1) = X_2^*(a_1) = x_2, d_2(h_2) = a_2,$
 $X_3^*(\bar{d}_2) = X_3^*(\bar{a}_2) = x_3, \dots, d_{K-1}(h_{K-1}) = a_{K-1},$
 $X_K^*(\bar{d}_{K-1}) = X_K^*(\bar{a}_{K-1}) = x_K, d_K(h_K) = a_K, Y^*(d) = Y^*(\bar{a}_K) = y$

- X_k^{*}(d_{k-1}) is the information that *would arise* between decisions k 1 and k if a patient *were to receive* the treatments dictated by the first k 1 rules in d
- *Y**(*d*) is the outcome a patient *would achieve* if s/he *were to receive* the *K* treatments according to the *K* rules in *d*

Thus: For $K \ge 1$ decision points

- *E*{*Y**(*d*)|*X*₁ = *x*₁} is the *expected outcome* for a patient with *baseline information x*₁ if s/he were to receive all *K* subsequent treatments according to regime *d* ∈ *D*
- E{Y*(d)} = E[E{Y*(d)|X}] is the expected (average) outcome for the *population* if *all patients* were to receive all *K* treatments according to regime d ∈ D

Optimal regime: d^{opt} is a regime in \mathcal{D} such that

- $E{Y^*(d)} \le E{Y^*(d^{opt})}$ for all $d \in D$
- $E\{Y^*(d)|X_1 = x_1\} \le E\{Y^*(d^{opt})|X_1 = x_1\}$ for all $d \in D$ and all $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1$

Goal: Given *data* from a clinical trial or observational study, *estimate* an *optimal regime d*^{opt} satisfying this definition

Observed data: *K* decisions

 $(X_{1i}, A_{1i}, X_{2i}, A_{2i}, \dots, X_{(K-1)i}, A_{(K-1)i}, X_{Ki}, A_{Ki}, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n, iid$

- Y = observed outcome
- A_k , k = 1, ..., K = treatment *received* at decision k
- *X_k*, *k* = 2, ..., *K* = *intermediate information* observed between decisions *k* − 1 and *k*
- *H_k* = (*X*₁, *A*₁, *X*₂, ..., *A_{k-1}*, *X_k*), *k* = 2, ..., *K* = accrued information to decision *k*

Consistency:
$$X_k = X_k^*(\bar{A}_{k-1}), k = 2, \dots, K; Y = Y^*(\bar{A}_K)$$

Critical assumption: Sequential randomization

- Generalization of no unmeasured confounders
- Treatment received at *each decision* k = 1, ..., K is *statistically independent* of potential outcomes that would be achieved under all treatment options *at all decisions* given the *accrued information* H_k

$$W^* = \{X_2^*(a_1), X_3^*(ar{a}_2), \dots, X_k^*(ar{a}_{k-1}), \dots, X_K^*(ar{a}_{K-1}), Y^*(ar{a}_K) ext{ for all } ar{a}_K \in ar{\mathcal{A}}_K\}$$

$$W^* \perp A_k | H_k$$

 Butch will characterize the form of d^{opt} and show how this leads to an expression for d^{opt} in terms of observed data and estimators for d^{opt}

Studies:

- Longitudinal observational
- Sequential, Multiple Assignment, Randomized Trial

SMART

Cancer example: Randomization at •s



Sequential randomization automatically holds

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

- Formalizing this problem in terms of *potential outcomes* yields *precise definition* of an optimal regime
- And *clarifies* what must be assumed about *observed data* for them to be used to *estimate* an optimal regime

Schulte, P. J., Tsiatis, A. A., Laber, E. B., and Davidian, M. (2014). Q- and A-learning methods for estimating optimal dynamic treatment regimes. *Statistical Science*, in press.